arXiv:1403.3673v1 [math-ph] 14 Mar 2014

Phase transitions in a reaction-diffusion model on a line with boundaries

Mohammad Khorrami [1], Amir Aghamohammadi [2].

Department of Physics, Alzahra University, Tehran 19938-93973, Iran

PACS numbers: 64.60.-i, 05.40.-a, 02.50.Ga
Keywords: reaction-diffusion, phase transition

Abstract

A one-dimensional model on a line of the length L is investigated, which involves particle diffusion as well as single particle annihilation. There are also creation and annihilation at the boundaries. The static and dynamical behaviors of the system are studied. It is seen that the system could exhibit a dynamical phase transition. For small drift velocities, the relaxation time does not depend on the absorbtion rates at the boundaries. This is the fast phase. For large velocities, the smaller of the absorbtion rates at boundaries enter the relaxation rate and makes it longer. This is the slow phase. Finally, the effect of a random particle creation in the bulk is also investigated.

[1] e-mail: mamwad@mailaps.org
[2] e-mail: mohamadi@alzahra.ac.ir

1 Introduction

Most analytical studies on reaction diffusion models are focused on low-dimensional systems. Among these studies, one dimensional models have the main contribution [1–4]. This is mainly due to the fact that one dimensional models are easier to investigate, but at the same time studying such models sheds light on systems far from equilibrium.

Asymmetric random walk in the continuum limit leads to a diffusion equation together with a drift ([5], for example), where the time derivative of the density contains the second derivative of the density with respect to the position (the diffusion term) and a term proportional to the first derivative of the density with respect to the position (the drift term). If in addition to diffusion there are also particle generation, and particle annihilation, the evolution equation for the density would be the Fisher equation or the Kolmogorov-Petrovsky-Piskounov equation, where the time derivative of the density contains a creation term proportional to the density as well as an annihilation term proportional to the density squared [6, 7]. In the case of diffusion-limited coalescence, $A + A \rightleftharpoons A$, one may also have Fisher waves solutions [8].

A simple one-dimensional reaction-diffusion model is a model of some particles diffusing with a bias. In [9], a continuum version of such a model (a voting model) in a D-dimensional region was studied, which contained injection and extraction at the boundary as well. Such a model is in fact a diffusion equation combined with a drift velocity. The stationary behavior of the system, and the dominant way of relaxation of the system toward its stationary state was studied there. It was shown that the system exhibits a static phase transition as well as a dynamical phase transition. It was shown that the static phase transition is induced by the drift velocity only, while the dynamical phase transition is a result of both the drift velocity and boundary conditions.

Adding a random particle creation term to a diffusion, one is arrived at the Edwards-Wilkinson model. The effect of a drift to the scaling properties of such a model is discussed in [10]. The model considered there, is on a one dimensional loop. Hence there are no boundary effects. In [11], the Edwards-Wilkinson model with Neumann boundary conditions has been investigated, again focusing on the scaling properties of the system.

Here a one-dimensional reaction-diffusion model on a line of the length L with open boundaries is addressed. In addition to the reactions in the bulk, there are also creation and annihilation (or injection and extraction of particles) at the boundaries. Among other things, the possible existence of phase transitions is studied. By phase transition, it is meant a discontinuity in some behavior of the system with respect to its parameters. The static phase transition is a discontinuity in the stationary (large time) profile of the system, and the dynamical phase transition corresponds to a discontinuous change in the behavior of the relaxation time of the system toward its stationary state. Finally, a random particle creation is added to the system. The result would be similar to the Edwards-Wilkinson model plus a drift and an annihilation term, with general (linear) boundary condition. Specifically, the one-and two point

functions are obtained, explicitly in the thermodynamic limit.

The scheme of the paper is as follows. In section 2, the model is introduced. In section 3, the time-independent solution is studied. It is shown that in the thermodynamic limit the boundaries essentially act independent of each other, and the stationary solution is non-vanishing only near boundaries. The conditions for the existence of a physical stationary solution are also obtained. Section 4 is devoted to investigating the dynamical behavior of the system. It is seen that the system has two phases, the fast phase and the slow phases, and it can exhibit a dynamical phase transition. For large absorbtion rates, the relaxation time does not depend on the absorbtion rates at the boundaries. This is the fast phase. For small absorbtion rates, the smaller of the absorbtion rates at boundaries enter the relaxation rate and makes it longer. This is the slow phase. In section 5, a random particle creation is added to the model, and its effect on the particle density and two-point correlations is investigated. Section 6 is devoted to the concluding remarks.

2 The model

Consider a one-dimensional line of the length L, on which particles of a single type diffuse, drift, and undergo single particle annihilation. At the boundaries ($x = 0$ and $x = L$), there is creation and annihilation as well. The density of particles, denoted by ρ, satisfies the differential equation

$$D_0\rho = D^2\rho - u\,D\rho - a\,\rho, \tag{1}$$

where D_0 and D denote differentiation with respect to t (time) and x (position), respectively, the diffusion constant has been absorbed in a redefinition of time, u is the drift velocity, and a is the annihilation parameter. The evolution equation (1) is the most general linear local (in position) translation invariant equation which ensures that the density remains nonnegative if one begins with a nonnegative initial condition [12]. The boundary conditions are

$$\begin{aligned} D\rho &= -\alpha + \beta\,\rho, \qquad x = 0, \\ D\rho &= \alpha' - \beta'\,\rho, \qquad x = L, \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where α and β are respectively the creation and annihilation parameters at $x = 0$, while α' and β' are similar parameters at $x = L$. a, α, β, α', and β' are assumed to be nonnegative. It is easy to see that the total number of particles evolve in time like

$$D_0\left(\int_0^L \mathrm{d}x\;\rho\right) = \alpha' - \beta'\,\rho(L) + \alpha - \beta\,\rho(0) - u\,\rho(L) + u\,\rho(0) - a\int_0^L \mathrm{d}x\;\rho. \tag{3}$$

As a result of the existence of boundaries, the overall evolution is not translation invariant, although the differential equation is.

3 The stationary behavior

The stationary solution to (1) and (2) is denoted by $\rho^{\rm st}$. It is seen that

$$\rho^{\rm st}(x) = A\,\exp\left[\left(\frac{u}{2}-\gamma\right)x\right] + A'\,\exp\left[\left(\frac{u}{2}+\gamma\right)(x-L)\right], \tag{4}$$

where

$$\gamma := \sqrt{a+\frac{u^2}{4}}, \tag{5}$$

and the constants A and A' satisfy

$$\begin{aligned}(\tilde\beta+\gamma)\,A + \left\{(\tilde\beta-\gamma)\,\exp\left[-\left(\frac{u}{2}+\gamma\right)L\right]\right\}A' &= \alpha,\\ \left\{(\tilde\beta'-\gamma)\,\exp\left[\left(\frac{u}{2}-\gamma\right)L\right]\right\}A + (\tilde\beta'+\gamma)\,A' &= \alpha',\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde\beta &:= \beta - \frac{u}{2},\\ \tilde\beta' &:= \beta' + \frac{u}{2}.\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

A negative annihilation parameter (a) is equivalent to particle creation. A negative a can make the stationary solution obtained above unacceptable. The point is that $\rho^{\rm st}$ should be nonnegative. In the thermodynamic limit ($L\to\infty$), in order that this be the case γ should be real. If γ is imaginary then $\rho^{\rm st}$ is an exponential times a harmonic oscillation, which changes sign. So one criterion that there is a stationary solution which is the limit of the density at large times, in the thermodynamic limit is that γ be real. This can be written as

$$a > -\frac{u^2}{4}. \tag{8}$$

Assuming that this is the case, and denoting by γ the positive root, three cases occur (regarding the thermodynamic limit).
case i:

$$\gamma > \left|\frac{u}{2}\right|. \tag{9}$$

Then, in the thermodynamic limit,

$$\begin{aligned}A &= \frac{\alpha}{\tilde\beta+\gamma},\\ A' &= \frac{\alpha'}{\tilde\beta'+\gamma}.\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

This means that in the thermodynamic limit the boundaries essentially act independent of each other, and the stationary solution is non-vanishing only

near boundaries:

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho^{\rm st}(x) &\approx \frac{\alpha}{\tilde{\beta}+\gamma}\,\exp\left[-\left(\gamma-\frac{u}{2}\right)x\right], && x \ll L,\\
\rho^{\rm st}(x) &\approx \frac{\alpha'}{\tilde{\beta}'+\gamma}\,\exp\left[-\left(\gamma+\frac{u}{2}\right)(L-x)\right], && (L-x) \ll L,\\
\rho^{\rm st}(x) &\approx 0, && \text{otherwise.}
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Such solutions are acceptable, only if both $(\tilde{\beta}+\gamma)$ and $(\tilde{\beta}'+\gamma)$ are positive, to ensure the positivity of $\rho^{\rm st}$.

case ii:

$$\gamma < \frac{u}{2}. \tag{12}$$

Then, in the thermodynamic limit,

$$\begin{aligned}
A &= \frac{\alpha}{\tilde{\beta}+\gamma},\\
A' &= -\frac{\alpha}{\tilde{\beta}+\gamma}\,\exp\left[\left(\frac{u}{2}-\gamma\right)L\right].
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

So

$$\rho^{\rm st}(x) \approx \frac{\alpha}{\tilde{\beta}+\gamma}\,\exp\left[-\left(\gamma-\frac{u}{2}\right)x\right], \qquad (L-x) \ll L. \tag{14}$$

The static solution rises exponentially, from $x = 0$ up to points near the boundary $x = L$. For the static density to be positive, $(\tilde{\beta}+\gamma)$ should be positive. In this case, $(\tilde{\beta}'+\gamma)$ is already positive, as u is positive.

case iii:

$$\gamma < -\frac{u}{2}. \tag{15}$$

Then, in the thermodynamic limit,

$$\begin{aligned}
A &= -\frac{\alpha'}{\tilde{\beta}'+\gamma}\,\exp\left[-\left(\frac{u}{2}+\gamma\right)L\right],\\
A' &= \frac{\alpha'}{\tilde{\beta}'+\gamma}.
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

So

$$\rho^{\rm st}(x) \approx \frac{\alpha'}{\tilde{\beta}'+\gamma}\,\exp\left[-\left(\gamma+\frac{u}{2}\right)(L-x)\right], \qquad x \ll L. \tag{17}$$

The static solution rises exponentially, from $x = L$ up to points near the boundary $x = 0$. For the static density to be positive, $(\tilde{\beta}'+\gamma)$ should be positive. In this case, $(\tilde{\beta}+\gamma)$ is already positive, as u is negative.

To summarize, in order to have a positive stationary density (8) should hold, as well as

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\beta} + \gamma > 0, \\ \tilde{\beta}' + \gamma > 0. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

(8) and (18) are the conditions that (in the thermodynamic limit) there is a stationary solution which is the limit of the density at large times. They can be written as

$$a > \max\left(-\frac{u^2}{4} + \tilde{\beta}^2, -\frac{u^2}{4} + \tilde{\beta}'^2 \right). \tag{19}$$

If this is satisfied, even with particle creation the drift velocity and the annihilation rates at the boundaries are high enough to ensure the rapid annihilation of particles at the boundaries, so that the particle density does not grow exponentially at large times. There is a difference between the cases of particle creation and particle annihilation. If there is particle creation, then the stationary density grows exponentially in x, towards the direction of u, so that it becomes very large near one of the boundaries, although the density does not grow exponentially in time, meaning that for each x it tends to the finite value $\rho^{\mathrm{st}}(x)$ as time tends to infinity. If there is particle annihilation, then ρ^{st} is bounded (for all values of x), and essentially is nonzero only near the boundaries.

4 The dynamic behavior

The dynamic solution $(\rho - \rho^{\mathrm{st}})$ satisfies

$$D_0(\rho - \rho^{\mathrm{st}}) = H\,(\rho - \rho^{\mathrm{st}}), \tag{20}$$

where H is defined through

$$H\,\psi = D^2\,\psi - u\,D\,\psi - a\,\psi, \tag{21}$$

and the boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} D\,\psi &= \beta\,\psi, \qquad & x &= 0, \\ D\,\psi &= -\beta'\,\psi, \qquad & x &= L. \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Putting the eigensolution ansatz $\psi_E(x)\,\exp(E\,t)$ in (20), one arrives at

$$D^2\psi_E - u\,D\psi_E - (a + E)\,\psi_E = 0, \tag{23}$$

Of course, ψ_E satisfies the boundary conditions (22). The solution to these is

$$\psi_E(x) = \exp\left(\frac{u}{2}\,x\right)\,\{B\,\exp(-q\,x) + B'\,\exp[q\,(x - L)]\}, \tag{24}$$

where q is a constant with a nonnegative real part, satisfying

$$q^2 = \gamma^2 + E, \tag{25}$$

and B and B' are other constants satisfying

$$\begin{aligned}(-q-\tilde{\beta})\,B+[(q-\tilde{\beta})\,\exp(-q\,L)]\,B'=0,\\ [(-q+\tilde{\beta}')\,\exp(-q\,L)]\,B+(q+\tilde{\beta}')\,B'=0.\end{aligned}\tag{26}$$

It is seen that in the thermodynamic limit, if the real part of q is non-vanishing then

$$(-q-\tilde{\beta})\,(q+\tilde{\beta}')=0,\tag{27}$$

which results in a real solution for q provided that solution is positive. Denoting this solution for q by $q_{\rm r}$, it is seen that

$$q_{\rm r}=\begin{cases}-\tilde{\beta}', & u<(-2\,\beta')\\ -\tilde{\beta}, & u>(2\,\beta)\end{cases}\tag{28}$$

and that there is no real solution for q if $(-2\,\beta')<u<(2\,\beta)$. Other solutions for q are pure imaginary. So all values of E are real. Denoting the largest value of E by $E_{\rm m}$, it is seen that

$$E_{\rm m}=\begin{cases}-\gamma^2+\tilde{\beta}'^2, & u<(-2\,\beta')\\ -\gamma^2, & (-2\,\beta')<u<(2\,\beta)\\ -\gamma^2+\tilde{\beta}^2, & (2\,\beta)<u\end{cases}\tag{29}$$

The relaxation time of the system is determined by $E_{\rm m}$:

$$\tau=-\frac{1}{E_{\rm m}}.\tag{30}$$

So there is a dynamical phase transition. For small drift velocities, the relaxation time does not depend on the absorbtion rates at the boundaries. This is the fast phase. For large velocities, the smaller of the absorbtion rates at boundaries enter the relaxation rate and makes it longer. This is the slow phase.

Let us again address the case of particle creation (negative a). It is seen that $E_{\rm m}$ is negative provided (19) is satisfied. So the system has a stationary state relaxing exponentially towards it, provided (19) is satisfied.

5 The stochastic evolution

The evolution equation (1) for the density ρ is a deterministic one. One way to introduce stochasticity in the evolution for ρ, is to introduce some random particle creation. This could be done by adding a noise η to the right-hand side of (1), so that the evolution for the stochastic density $\hat{\rho}$ is

$$D_0\hat{\rho}=D^2\hat{\rho}-u\,D\hat{\rho}-a\,\hat{\rho}+\eta,\tag{31}$$

where η is a stochastic function. In order that no initial condition for $\hat{\rho}$ results in a solution for $\hat{\rho}$ that sometime and somewhere is negative, the probability

that η be negative should be zero. However, one can still take the values of η at different times or positions independent. Assuming so, one has

$$\langle \eta(t,x) \rangle = f_1(t,x), \tag{32}$$

$$\langle \eta(t,x)\,\eta(t',x') \rangle - \langle \eta(t,x) \rangle \langle \eta(t',x') \rangle = f_2(t,x)\,\delta(t-t')\,\delta(x-x'), \tag{33}$$

where f_1 and f_2 are positive. The solution to (31) satisfies

$$\hat{\rho} = \rho + \rho^{\mathrm{n}}, \tag{34}$$

where ρ is the solution to (1) and the (inhomogeneous) boundary conditions and the initial conditions, while ρ^{n} (which is the stochastic part of $\hat{\rho}$) satisfies

$$D_0 \rho^{\mathrm{n}} = H\,\rho^{\mathrm{n}} + \eta, \tag{35}$$

subject to the boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} D\rho^{\mathrm{n}} &= \beta\,\rho^{\mathrm{n}}, \qquad & x &= 0, \\ D\rho^{\mathrm{n}} &= -\beta'\,\rho^{\mathrm{n}}, \qquad & x &= L, \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

and initial value being zero. The solution to (35) and (36) with zero initial value is

$$\rho^{\mathrm{n}}(t,x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}t' \int_0^L \mathrm{d}x'\; G(t-t';x,x')\,\eta(t',x'), \tag{37}$$

where the Green's function G satisfies

$$(D_0 G)(t;x,x') = (H\,G)(t;x,x') + \delta(t)\,\delta(x-x'), \tag{38}$$

subject to the boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} DG &= \beta\,G, \qquad & x &= 0, \\ DG &= -\beta'\,G, \qquad & x &= L, \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

and zero initial condition. To prove (37) with the above Green's function is in fact the solution with proper boundary conditions and initial conditions, one notices that acting with $(D-\beta)$ on ρ^{n} results in acting with $(D-\beta)$ on G in the right hand side of (37). The same is true for $(D+\beta')$. So if the boundary conditions (39) hold, so do the boundary conditions (36). Regarding the initial condition, one notices that the zero initial condition for the Green's function, together with the evolution equation (38), results in the fact that $G(t;x,x')$ is zero for negative t. So the integration region on t' in the right hand side of (37) is essentially $(-\infty,t]$. Hence for t to $-\infty$, the integration region is zero and ρ^{n} vanishes, meaning that it does satisfy the (zero) initial condition. Finally, acting with (D_0-H) on ρ^{n}, results in acting by (D_0-H) on the right-hand side of (37). The resulting integrand is the product of delta functions in the right-hand side of (38), using which the integrations are done and (35) is recovered.

Defining

$$C_1(t,x) \coloneqq \langle \hat{\rho}(t,x) \rangle, \tag{40}$$

$$C_2(t_1,t_2;x_1,x_2) \coloneqq \langle \hat{\rho}(t_1,x_1)\, \hat{\rho}(t_2,x_2) \rangle - \langle \hat{\rho}(t_1,x_1) \rangle \langle \hat{\rho}(t_2,x_2) \rangle, \tag{41}$$

using (32), (33), and (39), one arrives at

$$C_1(t,x) = \rho(t,x) + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}t' \int_0^L \mathrm{d}x'\, G(t-t';x,x')\, f_1(t',x'), \tag{42}$$

$$C_2(t_1,t_2;x_1,x_2) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}t' \int_0^L \mathrm{d}x'\, G(t_1-t';x_1,x')\, G(t_2-t';x_2,x')\, f_2(t',x'). \tag{43}$$

One has

$$G(t;x,x') = \sum_E \psi_E^*(x')\, \psi_E(x)\, \exp(E\,t)\, \Theta(t), \tag{44}$$

where ψ_E^* is the eigenvector of H^* (the pull back of H) with the eigenvalue E, normalized so that

$$\int_0^L \mathrm{d}x\, \psi_E^*(x)\, \psi_E(x) = 1. \tag{45}$$

H^* satisfies

$$\int_0^L \mathrm{d}x\, (H^*\,\psi^*)(x)\, \psi(x) = \int_0^L \mathrm{d}x\, \psi^*(x)\, (H\,\psi)(x). \tag{46}$$

So,

$$H^*\,\psi^* = D^2\psi^* + u\,D\psi^* - a\,\psi^*, \tag{47}$$

where ψ^* should satisfy

$$\left[\psi^* \frac{\mathrm{d}\psi}{\mathrm{d}x} - \frac{\mathrm{d}\psi^*}{\mathrm{d}x}\,\psi - u\,\psi^*\,\psi\right]_0^L = 0. \tag{48}$$

Using the boundary conditions (22) for ψ, it is seen that the proper boundary conditions for ψ^* are

$$\begin{aligned} D\psi^* &= (\beta - u)\,\psi^*, \qquad && x = 0, \\ D\psi^* &= -(\beta' + u)\,\psi^*, \qquad && x = L. \end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

Comparing (21) and (22) with (47) and (49), respectively, it is seen that H^* is obtained from H by a change of $(u,\beta,\beta') \to (u^*,\beta^*,\beta'^*)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} u^* &= -u, \\ \beta^* - \frac{u^*}{2} &= \beta - \frac{u}{2}, \\ \beta'^* + \frac{u^*}{2} &= \beta' + \frac{u}{2}. \end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

One thus arrives at

$$\psi^{\star}_{E}(x) = \exp\left(-\frac{u}{2}\,x\right)\,\{B\,\exp(-q\,x) + B'\,\exp[q\,(x-L)]\}, \tag{51}$$

with the same values of q, B, and B' appeared in (24). The normalization condition (45) then reads

$$(B^2 + B'^2)\,\frac{\sinh q\,L}{q\,L} + 2\,B\,B' = \frac{\exp(q\,L)}{L}. \tag{52}$$

5.1 The thermodynamic limit

In the thermodynamic limit ($L \to \infty$), the difference between two consecutive values of imaginary q's become behaves like $(\mathrm{i}\,\pi/L)$. Keeping x finite, using (52), in which the first term on the right hand side becomes negligible, and the first boundary condition in (26), one arrives at

$$\begin{aligned} B &= \frac{\mathrm{i}}{\sqrt{2\,L}}\,\exp\left(-\mathrm{i}\,\tan^{-1}\frac{k}{\tilde{\beta}}\right), \\ B'\,\exp(-q\,L) &= \frac{-\mathrm{i}}{\sqrt{2\,L}}\,\exp\left(\mathrm{i}\,\tan^{-1}\frac{k}{\tilde{\beta}}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

where

$$q =: \mathrm{i}\,k. \tag{54}$$

So,

$$\psi_{E}(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{L}}\,\exp\left(\frac{u}{2}\,x\right)\,\sin\left(k\,x + \tan^{-1}\frac{k}{\tilde{\beta}}\right), \qquad E < -\gamma^2, \tag{55}$$

where

$$E = -\gamma^2 - k^2. \tag{56}$$

The Green's function for finite x would have a contribution from a real positive value of q, if $\tilde{\beta}$ is negative. One has

$$\psi_{E}(x) = \sqrt{-2\,\tilde{\beta}}\,\exp(\beta\,x), \qquad E = -\gamma^2 + \tilde{\beta}^2. \tag{57}$$

So the Green's function at the thermodynamic limit, for finite values of x and x', satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} G(t;x,x') = \exp\left[\frac{u}{2}\,(x-x')\right]\,\Bigg\{ & -2\,\tilde{\beta}\,\exp[\tilde{\beta}\,(x+x')]\,\exp[-(\gamma^2-\tilde{\beta}^2)\,t]\,\Theta(-\tilde{\beta}) \\ & + \frac{2}{\pi}\,\int_0^{\infty}\mathrm{d}k\,\sin\left(k\,x + \tan^{-1}\frac{k}{\tilde{\beta}}\right)\,\sin\left(k\,x' + \tan^{-1}\frac{k}{\tilde{\beta}}\right) \\ & \times\exp[-(\gamma^2+k^2)\,t]\Bigg\}\,\Theta(t). \end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

Defining

$$\begin{aligned} \xi &:= x - x', \\ X &:= x + x', \end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

one arrives at

$$G(t; x, x') = \exp\left(\frac{u}{2}\,\xi - \gamma^2\, t\right)\,\Theta(t)\,\tilde{G}(t; x, x'), \tag{60}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{G}(t; x, x') &= \tilde{G}_{\mathrm{b}}(t, X) + \tilde{G}_{\mathrm{f}}(t, \xi) + \tilde{G}_{\mathrm{i}}(t, X), \\ \tilde{G}_{\mathrm{b}}(t, X) &= -2\,\tilde{\beta}\,\exp(\tilde{\beta}\,X)\,\exp(\tilde{\beta}^2\,t)\,\Theta(-\tilde{\beta}), \\ \tilde{G}_{\mathrm{f}}(t, \xi) &= \frac{1}{\pi}\,\int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}k\,\cos(k\,\xi)\,\exp(-k^2\,t), \\ \tilde{G}_{\mathrm{i}}(t, X) &= -\frac{1}{\pi}\,\int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}k\,\cos\left(k\,X + 2\,\tan^{-1}\frac{k}{\tilde{\beta}}\right)\exp(-k^2\,t). \end{aligned} \tag{61}$$

It is easily seen that

$$\tilde{G}_{\mathrm{f}}(t, \xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\,\pi\,t}}\,\exp\left(-\frac{\xi^2}{4\,t}\right). \tag{62}$$

For $\tilde{G}_{\mathrm{i}}$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{G}_{\mathrm{i}}(t, X) &= -\frac{1}{2\,\pi}\,\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}k\,\exp\left(\mathrm{i}\,k\,X + 2\,\mathrm{i}\,\tan^{-1}\frac{k}{\tilde{\beta}} - k^2\,t\right), \\ &= \frac{1}{2\,\pi}\,\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}k\,\frac{\mathrm{i}\,k + \tilde{\beta}}{\mathrm{i}\,k - \tilde{\beta}}\,\exp(\mathrm{i}\,k\,X - k^2\,t), \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\,\pi\,t}}\,\exp\left(-\frac{X^2}{4\,t}\right) + \frac{\tilde{\beta}}{\pi}\,\exp(\tilde{\beta}\,X)\,\tilde{G}_{\mathrm{e}}(t, X), \end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

where

$$\tilde{G}_{\mathrm{e}}(t, X) := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}k\,\frac{1}{\mathrm{i}\,k - \tilde{\beta}}\,\exp[(\mathrm{i}\,k - \tilde{\beta})\,X - k^2\,t]. \tag{64}$$

One has,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{G}_{\mathrm{e}}(t, X) &= \tilde{G}_{\mathrm{e}}(t, 0) + \int_0^X \mathrm{d}w\,\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}k\,\exp[(\mathrm{i}\,k - \tilde{\beta})\,w - k^2\,t], \\ &= \tilde{G}_{\mathrm{e}}(t, 0) + \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{t}}\,\exp(\tilde{\beta}^2\,t)\,\int_0^X \mathrm{d}w\,\exp\left[-\frac{1}{4\,t}\,(w + 2\,\tilde{\beta}\,t)^2\right], \\ &= \tilde{G}_{\mathrm{e}}(t, 0) + \pi\,\exp(\tilde{\beta}^2\,t)\,\left[\mathrm{erf}\left(\frac{X + 2\,\tilde{\beta}\,t}{2\,\sqrt{t}}\right) - \mathrm{erf}(\tilde{\beta}\,\sqrt{t})\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{65}$$

For $\tilde{G}_{\mathrm{e}}(t, 0)$, one notices that if $\tilde{\beta}$ is positive, then $\tilde{G}_{\mathrm{e}}(t, X)$ tends to zero for $X \to \infty$. So,

$$\tilde{G}_{\mathrm{e}}(t, 0) = -\pi\,\exp(\tilde{\beta}^2\,t)\,[1 - \mathrm{erf}(\tilde{\beta}\,\sqrt{t})], \qquad \tilde{\beta} > 0. \tag{66}$$

As $\tilde{G}_{\rm e}(t,0)$ is odd in $\tilde{\beta}$, on arrives at

$$\tilde{G}_{\rm e}(t,0) = -\pi\,\exp(\tilde{\beta}^2\,t)\,[\mathrm{sgn}(\tilde{\beta}) - \mathrm{erf}(\tilde{\beta}\,\sqrt{t})]. \tag{67}$$

So,

$$G(t;x,x') = \exp\left(\frac{u}{2}\,\xi - \gamma^2\,t\right)\,\Theta(t)\left\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{4\,\pi\,t}}\left[\exp\left(-\frac{\xi^2}{4\,t}\right) + \exp\left(-\frac{X^2}{4\,t}\right)\right]\right.$$
$$\left. - \tilde{\beta}\,\exp(\tilde{\beta}\,X)\,\exp(\tilde{\beta}^2\,t)\,\mathrm{erfc}\left(\frac{X + 2\,\tilde{\beta}\,t}{2\,\sqrt{t}}\right)\right\}. \tag{68}$$

5.2 Time independent uniform noise

A special case is when the correlations of noise are time independent and uniform, so that f_1 and f_2 in (32) and (33) are positive constants. In this case, (42) and (43) become

$$C_1(t,x) = \rho(t,x) + f_1\,\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\mathrm{d}t'\,\int_0^L \mathrm{d}x'\,G(t-t';x,x'), \tag{69}$$

$$C_2(t_1,t_2;x_1,x_2) = f_2\,\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\mathrm{d}t'\,\int_0^L \mathrm{d}x'\,G(t_1-t';x_1,x')\,G(t_2-t';x_2,x'). \tag{70}$$

For the one point function C_1, one needs the integral of the Green's function:

$$G_1(t,x) := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\mathrm{d}t'\,\int_0^L \mathrm{d}x'\,G(t-t';x,x'). \tag{71}$$

It is seen that G_1 is time independent and satisfies

$$(H\,G_1)(x) + 1 = 0, \tag{72}$$

$$(D\,G_1)(0) = \beta\,G_1(0), \tag{73}$$

$$(D\,G_1)(L) = -\beta'\,G_1(L). \tag{74}$$

The solution to this, is

$$G_1(x) = \frac{1}{a} + A_1\,\exp\left[\left(\frac{u}{2} - \gamma\right)\,x\right] + A_1'\,\exp\left[\left(\frac{u}{2} + \gamma\right)\,(x-L)\right], \tag{75}$$

with A_1 and A_1' satisfying

$$(\tilde{\beta} + \gamma)\,A + \left\{(\tilde{\beta} - \gamma)\,\exp\left[-\left(\frac{u}{2} + \gamma\right)\,L\right]\right\}\,A' = -\frac{\beta}{a},$$
$$\left\{(\tilde{\beta}' - \gamma)\,\exp\left[\left(\frac{u}{2} - \gamma\right)\,L\right]\right\}\,A + (\tilde{\beta}' + \gamma)\,A' = -\frac{\beta'}{a}, \tag{76}$$

In the thermodynamic limit, these result in

$$A = -\frac{\beta}{a\,(\tilde{\beta} + \gamma)},$$
$$A' = -\frac{\beta'}{a\,(\tilde{\beta}' + \gamma)}, \tag{77}$$

so that in the thermodynamic limit and for finite values of x,

$$G_1(x) = \frac{1}{a}\left\{1 - \frac{\beta}{\tilde{\beta}+\gamma}\,\exp\left[-\left(\gamma - \frac{u}{2}\right)x\right]\right\}. \tag{78}$$

For the two point function with noise, one needs G_2 with

$$G_2(t; x_1, x_2) \coloneqq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}s \int_0^L \mathrm{d}x'\, G(t+s; x_1, x')\, G(s; x_2, x'), \tag{79}$$

where (without loss of generality) it is assumed that t (which is in fact $(t_1 - t_2)$) is positive. It is seen that G_2 satisfies

$$(D_0 - H_1)\, G_2 = 0, \tag{80}$$

$$(D_0 + H_2)\, G_2 = -G, \tag{81}$$

where H_i is the differential operator H corresponding to the variable x_i. Of course, G_2 satisfies the boundary conditions (22) for both variables x_1 and x_2. Using (80), one arrives at

$$G_2(t; x_1, x_2) = \sum_E \psi_E(x_1)\, \chi_E(x_2)\, \exp(E\,t), \tag{82}$$

where E's are the eigenvalues of H. Putting this in (81), and using (44), one arrives at

$$(H + E)\, \chi_E = -\psi_E^*, \tag{83}$$

the solution to which is

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_E(x) = -\exp\left(-\frac{u}{2}x\right) &\left\{\frac{B}{u\,(u+2\,q)+2\,E}\,\exp(-q\,x)\right.\\ &\left. + \frac{B'}{u\,(u-2\,q)+2\,E}\,\exp[q\,(x-L)]\right\}\\ &+ \exp\left(\frac{u}{2}x\right)\{B_2\,\exp(-r\,x) + B_2'\,\exp[r\,(x-L)]\},\end{aligned} \tag{84}$$

where

$$r = \sqrt{\gamma^2 - E}, \tag{85}$$

and B_2 and B_2' should be such that χ_E satisfy the boundary conditions (22), that is

$$\begin{aligned}(\tilde{\beta}+r)\,B_2 + (\tilde{\beta}-r)\,B_2'\,\exp(-r\,L) &= \frac{(\tilde{\beta}+u+q)\,B}{u\,(u+2\,q)+2\,E}\\ &\quad + \frac{(\tilde{\beta}+u-q)\,B'\,\exp(-q\,L)}{u\,(u-2\,q)+2\,E},\\ (-\tilde{\beta}'+r)\,B_2\,\exp(-r\,L) + (-\tilde{\beta}'-r)\,B_2' &= \frac{(-\tilde{\beta}'+u+q)\,B\,\exp(-q\,L)}{u\,(u+2\,q)+2\,E}\\ &\quad + \frac{(-\tilde{\beta}'+u-q)\,B'}{u\,(u-2\,q)+2\,E},\end{aligned} \tag{86}$$

which in the thermodynamic limit result in

$$B_2 = \frac{1}{\tilde{\beta}+r}\left[\frac{(\tilde{\beta}+u+q)\,B}{u\,(u+2\,q)+2\,E}+\frac{(\tilde{\beta}+u-q)\,B'\,\exp(-q\,L)}{u\,(u-2\,q)+2\,E}\right],$$
$$B_2' = -\frac{1}{\tilde{\beta}'+r}\left[\frac{(-\tilde{\beta}'+u+q)\,B\,\exp(-q\,L)}{u\,(u+2\,q)+2\,E}+\frac{(-\tilde{\beta}'+u-q)\,B'}{u\,(u-2\,q)+2\,E}\right]. \tag{87}$$

So in the thermodynamic limit, and for finite values of x_1 and x_2, one arrives at

$$G_2(t;x_1,x_2) = \exp(-\gamma^2\,t)\,[\tilde{G}_{2\,\mathrm{b}}(t;x_1,x_2)+\tilde{G}_{2\,\mathrm{u}}(t;x_1,x_2)], \tag{88}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{G}_{2\,\mathrm{b}}(t;x_1,x_2) = {}& \frac{-2\,\tilde{\beta}}{u\,(u-2\,\tilde{\beta})-2\,\gamma^2+2\,\tilde{\beta}^2}\,\exp(\beta\,x_1+\tilde{\beta}^2\,t)\\ &\times\left\{\frac{u}{\tilde{\beta}+\sqrt{2\,\gamma^2-\tilde{\beta}^2}}\,\exp\left[\left(\frac{u}{2}-\sqrt{2\,\gamma^2-\tilde{\beta}^2}\right)x_2\right]\right.\\ &\left.-\exp\left[\left(\tilde{\beta}-\frac{u}{2}\right)x_2\right]\right\}\theta(-\tilde{\beta}).\end{aligned} \tag{89}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{G}_{2\,\mathrm{u}}(t;x_1,x_2) = {}& \frac{2}{\pi}\,\mathrm{Re}\int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}k\,\exp\left(\frac{u}{2}\,x_1-k^2\,t\right)\sin\left(k\,x_1+\tan^{-1}\frac{k}{\tilde{\beta}}\right)\\ &\times\frac{1}{u\,(u+2\,\mathrm{i}\,k)-2\,\gamma^2-2\,k^2}\\ &\times\left\{\frac{\mathrm{i}\,(\tilde{\beta}+u+\mathrm{i}\,k)}{\tilde{\beta}+\sqrt{2\,\gamma^2+k^2}}\,\exp\left[\left(\frac{u}{2}-\sqrt{2\,\gamma^2+k^2}\right)x_2-\mathrm{i}\,\tan^{-1}\frac{k}{\tilde{\beta}}\right]\right.\\ &\left.-\mathrm{i}\,\exp\left[-\left(\frac{u}{2}+\mathrm{i}\,k\right)x_2-\mathrm{i}\,\tan^{-1}\frac{k}{\tilde{\beta}}\right]\right\},\\ = {}& \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{-\infty}^\infty \mathrm{d}k\,\exp\left(\frac{u}{2}\,x_1-k^2\,t\right)\sin\left(k\,x_1+\tan^{-1}\frac{k}{\tilde{\beta}}\right)\\ &\times\frac{1}{u\,(u+2\,\mathrm{i}\,k)-2\,\gamma^2-2\,k^2}\\ &\times\left\{\frac{\mathrm{i}\,(\tilde{\beta}+u+\mathrm{i}\,k)}{\tilde{\beta}+\sqrt{2\,\gamma^2+k^2}}\,\exp\left[\left(\frac{u}{2}-\sqrt{2\,\gamma^2+k^2}\right)x_2-\mathrm{i}\,\tan^{-1}\frac{k}{\tilde{\beta}}\right]\right.\\ &\left.-\mathrm{i}\,\exp\left[-\left(\frac{u}{2}+\mathrm{i}\,k\right)x_2-\mathrm{i}\,\tan^{-1}\frac{k}{\tilde{\beta}}\right]\right\}.\end{aligned} \tag{90}$$

For large values of t, the expressions for G_2 take a simpler for. It is seen that if $\tilde{\beta}$ is negative, then $\tilde{G}_{2\,\mathrm{b}}$ dominates $\tilde{G}_{2\,\mathrm{u}}$. So one can neglect $\tilde{G}_{2\,\mathrm{u}}$. For non-negative values of $\tilde{\beta}$, the right-hand side of (90) is dominated by the integrand

for small values of k. If $\tilde{\beta}$ is zero, β and u are either both positive or both zero. One then arrives at the following expressions for large t behavior of G_2.

$$G_2(t;x_1,x_2) = \frac{-2\,\tilde{\beta}}{u\,(u-2\,\tilde{\beta})-2\,\gamma^2+2\,\tilde{\beta}^2}\,\exp[\beta\,x_1+(\tilde{\beta}^2-\gamma^2)\,t] \times\left\{\frac{u}{\tilde{\beta}+\sqrt{2\,\gamma^2-\tilde{\beta}^2}}\,\exp\left[\left(\frac{u}{2}-\sqrt{2\,\gamma^2-\tilde{\beta}^2}\right)x_2\right] -\exp\left[\left(\tilde{\beta}-\frac{u}{2}\right)x_2\right]\right\}, \qquad \tilde{\beta}<0. \tag{91}$$

$$G_2(t;x_1,x_2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi\,t}\,(u^2-2\,\gamma^2)}\,\exp\left(-\frac{x_1^2}{4\,t}+\frac{u}{2}\,x_1-\gamma^2\,t\right) \times\left\{\frac{u}{\sqrt{2\,\gamma^2}}\,\exp\left[\left(\frac{u}{2}-\sqrt{2\,\gamma^2}\right)x_2\right]-\exp\left(-\frac{u}{2}\,x_2\right)\right\}, \qquad \tilde{\beta}=0,\quad u>0. \tag{92}$$

$$G_2(t;x_1,x_2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\,\pi\,t}\,(2\,\gamma^2)}\,\exp(-\gamma^2\,t) \times\left\{\exp\left[-\frac{(x_1-x_2)^2}{4\,t}\right]+\exp\left[-\frac{(x_1+x_2)^2}{4\,t}\right]\right\}, \qquad \tilde{\beta}=0,\quad u=0. \tag{93}$$

$$G_2(t;x_1,x_2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\,\pi\,t}\,(2\,\gamma^2-u^2)}\,\exp\left[\frac{u}{2}\,(x_1-x_2)-\gamma^2\,t\right] \times\left\{\exp\left[-\frac{(x_1-x_2)^2}{4\,t}\right]-\exp\left[-\frac{(x_1+x_2)^2}{4\,t}\right]\right\}, \qquad \tilde{\beta}>0. \tag{94}$$

6 Conclusion

A one-dimensional model of diffusing particles on a line was studied, where particles diffuse and drift, and there are also particle creation (or annihilation). Particle creation and annihilation takes place at the boundaries as well. It was shown that the system does tend to a stationary state, provided the rate of particle creation in the bulk (if there is particle creation, rather than annihilation in the bulk), is smaller than a certain limit which is determined by the particle

annihilation rates at the boundaries, and the drift velocity: the larger these, the larger would be the upper limit for particle production rate which can be tolerated without making the particle density blow up at large times. It was also shown that the system can exhibit a dynamical phase transitions. The control parameters for the dynamical phase transition are the drift velocities and the absorbtion rates at the boundaries. There are two phases: the fast phase in which the relaxation time does not depend on the boundary rates, and the slow phase in which the relaxation time does depend on the boundary terms. Finally, the effect of a random particle creation in the bulk was also studied. This was done by adding a noise η to the equation for the density ρ. The model thus obtained could be regarded as some generalization of the Edwards-Wilkinson model, having in addition to the usual diffusion and stochastic production, a general boundary condition and a bulk annihilation (or creation) term, as well as a drift. It was shown that in particular, the bulk annihilation rate, the drift velocity, and the boundary annihilation rates determine the behavior of the stochastic part of the density, with large time behaviors which undergo phase transitions similar to the dynamical phase transitions observed in the no-noise case. The one and two point functions corresponding to the density were explicitly calculated in different regions of the parameter space.

Acknowledgement: This work was supported by the research council of the Alzahra University.

References

[1] G. M. Schütz; "Exactly solvable models for many-body systems far from equilibrium" in "Phase transitions and critical phenomena, vol. **19**", C. Domb & J. Lebowitz (eds.) (Academic Press, 2000).

[2] M. Henkel, H. Hinrichsen, & Sven Lübeck; "Non-equilibrium phase transitions, vol. 1 "Absorbing phase transitions" (Springer, 2008).

[3] M. Henkel & M. Pleimling; "Non-equilibrium phase transitions, vol. 2 "Ageing and dynamical scaling far from equilibrium" (Springer, 2010).

[4] V. Privman; "Nonequilibrium statistical mechanics in one dimension" (Cambridge University Press, 1997).

[5] D. ben-Avraham , & S. Havlin; "Diffusion and reactions in fractals and disordered systems" (Cambridge university press, 2000).

[6] R. A. Fisher; Ann. Eugenics **VII** (1937) 355.

[7] A. Kolmogorov, I. Petrovsky, & N. Piskounov; Bull Univ. Moskau Ser. Internat. Sec. **A1** (1937) 1.

[8] D. Ben-Avraham; Phys. Lett. **A247** (1998) 53.

[9] F. Roshani, A. Aghamohammadi, & M. Khorrami; Phys. Rev. **E70** (2004) 056128.

[10] G. Pruessner; Phys. Rev. Lett. **92** (2004) 246101.

[11] S. Cheang & G. Pruessner; J. Phys. **A44** (2011) 065003.

[12] A. Aghamohammadi & M. Khorrami; Int. J. Theor. Phys. **47** (2008) 1630.